

Robotics Motion predictor and Manipulator techniques with Deep Reinforcement Learning

R. Navlesh, S. Patil

Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Defense Institute of Science and Technology, Pune

Article Information

Received : 07 June 2023
Revised : 24 Jun 2023
Accepted : 18 July 2023
Published : 08 August 2023

Corresponding Author:

R. Navlesh

Abstract— This makes motion planning extremely complicated and difficult. Further, these systems also have restrictions such as time and to do real-world tasks in an unstructured environment. Thus, incorporating these restrictions in existing motion planners is complex and computationally expensive. So, real-time calculation i.e. very fast computation of inverse kinematics (IK) in complex robotics systems with dynamically stable configuration is of high priority .as they are very vulnerable to do tasks in an unstructured environment. In this thesis, a methodology to motion planning for complex robotics manipulators using Deep Reinforcement Learning (RL) is proposed. Where the robotics manipulator autonomously learns the optimal behavior through a series of trial-and-error interactions with the environment. It is based on the Markov Decision Process (MDP), Bellman’s Equation, Q learning, Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG), and Hindsight Experience Replay (HER). The proposed strategy was developed for various motion planning tasks in Robotics. Our goal is to develop ways to train different kinds of complex Robotics Manipulator (grippers).To learn to interact with different objects, especially to grasp, perform some maneuvers to these objects. Achieving this would open up the possibility of the robot agent learning to interact with the environment without prior knowledge

Keywords –:Hybrid power systems, micro grid, power management strategies, smart grid , MATLAB simulation

Copyright © 2023 Krishna Nanduri, P. Samba Rao, Ratna Sadhu. This is an open access distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License the original work is properly cited License, which permits unrestricted use.

Citation: Krishna Nanduri, P. Samba Rao, Ratna Sadhu. “Robotics Motion predictor and Manipulator techniques with Deep Reinforcement Learning”, Journal of Science, Computing and Engineering Research, 6(7), 07-13, August 2023.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent technological Researches are aggressively pushing the state of the art in robotics. We know natural manipulators like Hand, leg, etc. are very complex in design. Because they have a lot of soft tissue, complicated networks of the sensory system, so it is hard to replicate. To design such complex systems come with a cost, nowadays a lot of manipulators are available but they are simple in design.



Figure 1:- Simple Manipulators they are specially designed for a particular job they do that one job and do it

accurately. They don’t do other jobs. This does not mean that we don’t have a flexible manipulator, flexible manipulator exists but we don’t know who to control this manipulator properly. They like if we increase the flexibility of manipulator than the performance of the manipulator goes down and if we increase performance then we cannot get flexibility. There has been an increasing demand for reinforcement learning (RL)[1]within the fields of Robotics and intelligent systems. Reinforcement learning deals with learning actions in a given environment in order to maximize the growing reward.

Classic reinforcement learning (RL) technique makes use of tabular methods or linear approximation to learn this correlation between actions and tasks.[2]RL over other methods is RL doesn’t require prior information on the dynamics of the system. It enables the robot to learn optimal behaviour through a trial and error cooperation with the environment. Instead of detailing the solution of the problem. With the research in deep neural networks within recent times, learning feature extraction and non-linear approximations has become much simpler. It was believed that non-linear approximations like neural networks are very hard to train in the reinforcement learning scenario. However recent research in RL has successfully combined the deep neural networks ANN with RL and improve the learning process. Deep Q Networks [3](DQN) used fully connected ANN layers and convolutional neural networks

(CNN to make the RL agents learn to play the ATARI games. From the success of DQN, several variants of this architecture like Double DQN, Prioritized Replay, Duelling Network are proposed which propelled the use of Deep RL in multi-agents. Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG) algorithm was proposed by [4] for learning continuous control and high action space tasks. which we use to motion planning of robotics hand manipulator. Robotic systems like open and closed kinematic chains offer fresh perspectives to use deep RL algorithms. Hand manipulator robotic systems have constantly fascinated the research society for the past few decades. They have relatively complex architecture, high degrees of freedom (DoF), and balancing requirements which make control tasks of those robots difficult. Motion planning in Complex Robotics (humanoid) systems requires collision-free whole-body motions along with active balancing.

Even though control in robotics systems is a relatively old research topic, still, the technology development has not been reached and many aspects of motion planning in humanoids need to be improved. Sampling-based planning algorithms, like RRT and PRM are capable of generating globally collision-free solutions. Within the past few decades, a variety of approaches based on Sampling based planning (SBP) algorithms, such as RRT connect, RRT* connect, RRT*/PRM*. Some recent[5,6,7] works demonstrated motion planning of humanoid robots using these SBP algorithms in different environmental settings proposed an optimal motion planner by posing it as an optimal control problem and combining it with RRT. These SBM based planners were designed for mobile robots and low DoF robotic systems, using them on high DoF humanoid systems which require active balancing is still a difficult task.

Also, these are computationally expensive and thus cannot search for the optimal path in real-time. Besides, all the works mentioned in path planning considered only static obstacles. Proposed a stereo vision-based approach[8] for dynamic obstacles avoidance in humanoid robots with predefined motions for maneuver. In the recent DARPA Robotics Challenge (DRC), humanoids were required to perform a variety of maneuvers and coordinated tasks that show the need for real-time controllers and motion planning in complex 3D environments. Robotics systems constitute of kinematics open and closed chains. Even though they are not multi-agent systems, they can be posed as multi-tasking systems where there are shared and non-separable actions (common kinematic chains).

In the humanoid model, the spine is the common chain which grants the reachability tasks of both the hands. The problem of motion planning in branched robotic systems can be seen as an optimal control problem of multiple kinematic chains where these may have common sub-chains. There are different mathematical ways that try to address the problem of multitasking in branched manipulators. However, classical methods based on Jacobian[9,10] have very limited capability in branched manipulators. Methods like

Augmented Jacobian have constrained solution spaces, while methods based on optimization do not provide real-time control.

II. RELATED WORKS

This section discusses the various methods used to control complex manipulators. There are a few more fields related to this project, containing algorithms and simulation environments. In this section, we discuss these related techniques. 2.1 Sampling-based planning (SBP) Within the past few decades, a variety of approaches based on Sampling based planning (SBP) algorithms, such as RRT connect, RRT* connect, RRT*/PRM*. Some recent[5,6,7] works demonstrated motion planning of humanoid robots using these SBP algorithms in different environmental settings proposed an optimal motion planner by posing it as an optimal control problem and combining it with RRT.

These SBM based planners were designed for mobile robots and low DoF robotic systems, using them on high DoF humanoid systems which require active balancing is still a challenging task. Also, these are computationally expensive and thus cannot search for the optimal path in real-time. Besides, all the works mentioned in path planning considered only static obstacles. Proposed a stereo vision-based approach[8] for dynamic obstacles avoidance in humanoid robots with predefined motions for maneuver. 2.2 Grasping and Manipulation[26,27] From this paper [26,27] we get to know Grasp quality measures and GraspIt simulator platform. Grasping get more attention from the robotics community than dexterous hand manipulations. Researchers have proceeded to grasp primarily from two different directions. One approach target on improving the design of the manipulator so as to improve its capabilities in terms of making stable grasps.

The other approach utilizes optimization-based techniques to evaluate the stability of the grasp using grasp metrics [26]. Various grasp metrics have been proposed but no clear advantage of using one over other. These methods are less suited to generalize for dexterous manipulation but are based on important ideas. While grasping has always the center of hand research, one common approach towards reactive manipulation is Teleoperation using data sensor gloves. In teleoperation, the hard part of the planning and higher cognitive process (decision making) is left to the intelligent user while the controllers blindly follow the joint trajectories. Contact invariant trajectory optimization is capable of synthesizing hand manipulation but it's slow and trades physics for expressive manipulation behavior. Such an approach is hard to generalize and is less likely to scale in real applications. Also, see the review paper [28] [29]. 2.3 Dimensionality Reduction and Synergy Based Control Although rich and diverse, animal forms exhibit characteristic movements that will be attributed to its morphology, neural system, and habitat.

Researchers have credited these to bio-muscular and neural factors. Low dimensional embeddings have been found at the level of kinematics [32], instantaneous muscle

activity, Spatiotemporal muscle activity, and feedback control law[33]. These low dimensional embeddings have long been utilized in the graphics community to reduce the dimensionality of search spaces to synthesize full-body movements. It has also been demonstrated that such embedding exists in hand movements. Approx. 95% of the (positional) postural variance associated with hand grasping can be explained using four principal components. Such low dimensional embedding and synergy spaces have been utilized by the robotics community to accelerate the pace of grasping research [27].

However, it has constrained the capabilities of present robotic devices to simple grasps. Similar to biological systems, now day robots have many Dofs. While low dimensional spaces and synergy have helped us control and emulate some of the functionalities; they have restricted the behaviors to simple movements that cover up the expressiveness and dexterity of these robots. 2.4 Capturing Hand Manipulation In this papers [38,39,40] they work on Gesture-based programming for robotic hands. Also, vision-based techniques to observe and record real-life hand manipulation. They also use 3D visualization devices to achieve desired visual effects, and for motion capture, these techniques have been used for hand movement synthesis. Human hand function has been recorded and studied extensively within the past, mostly in the context of static grasping as opposed to more dexterous manipulation.

Investigations have to lead to different shape based grasp taxonomies and grasp evaluation metrics [26]. These metrics have been widely leveraged by data-driven and optimization-based techniques[27] for static grasp synthesis. However, they have very limited utility for more dexterous manipulation involving dynamic contact phenomena. To the best of our knowledge, there has not been prior work done that successfully captured physically consistent dynamic interactions for hand manipulation. This is not surprising; given that the full suite of sensor technologies needed to do this is not readily available. Researchers [37] have however extensively looked at using human motion data for robot programming and robot teaching. Human demonstrations were recorded using a combination of vision, hand tracking, and motion tracking systems. Recorded demonstrations were then first segmented and classified into predetermined steps (reaching, pre grasp, grasp types etc), and then appropriately sequenced to generate a robot program equivalent to the human demonstration.

These approaches [37] propelled the advancement in grasp planning towards manipulation, but have been limited to basic reaching and pick-place operations with simple grippers. Scaling attempts towards manipulation using dexterous manipulators were challenged by the low fidelity recordings of human demonstration. Obstruction due to compact workspaces-inhabited by the object being manipulated significantly impacts the ability of motion tracking and vision-based techniques to observe and record real-life hand

manipulation demonstration. a lot of manual work [38] was required to clean up the recorded data sets with specific attention towards individual hand object interaction. Furthermore, phenomena such as sliding, rolling, deformations, compliance which heavily dominate manipulation, and geometric inconsistencies are very difficult to fix in data sets recorded using such techniques.

Technological limitations and physically inconsistent datasets considerably impact the pursuit of understanding manipulation from empirical data. 2.5 Model-based Reinforcement Learning In this paper [45, 48] author give a basic understanding of model-based reinforcement learning. Depending on one's preference of terminology, the methods we will detail in Chapter3 and Chapter6 can be classified as model-free Reinforcement Learning (RL). While RL aims to solve the same general problem as optimal control, its uniqueness comes from model-free learning in stochastic domains [45]. The idea of learning policies without having models still dominates RL, and forms the basis of the most remarkable success stories, both old [46] and new [47]. However, RL with learned models has also considered. Adaptive control on the other hand mostly focuses on learning the parameters of a model with predefined structure, essentially interleaving system identification with control [48].

Our approach here lies somewhere in between (to fix terminology, we call it RL in subsequent sections). We rely on a model, but that model does not have any informative predefined structure. Instead, it is a time-varying linear model learned from data, using a generic prior for regularization. Related ideas have been pursued previously [49]. Nevertheless, as with most approaches to automatic control and computational intelligence in general, the challenge is not only in creating, formulating ideas but also in getting them to scale to hard problems. which is our main contribution here. In particular, we demonstrate scaling from a 14-dimensional state space in [49] to a 100-dimensional state-space here. This is important in light of the curse of dimensionality. Indeed RL has been successfully applied to a range of robotic tasks [50], however, dimensionality and sample complexity have presented major challenges [51] From paper [1,3,46,47].The main disadvantage is that a ground-truth model of the environment is usually not available to the agent. If agents want to use a model in this case, it has to learn the model purely from experience, which creates several challenges. Like bias in the model can be utilized by the agent, resulting in an agent which performs well with respect to the learned model, but behaves super terribly in the real environment.

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

3.1 Robotic Hand Manipulator:

Three important decisions have been made at the early stage of this project: the simulation tools we needed design environment as well as to carry out our training experiment; a language not only to implement our reinforcement learning algorithms but also with support from a machine

learning library to construct and train the deep neural networks; the structure to combine these two-part together.

3.2 DDPG (Deep Deterministic Policy Gradients.):

[4] In paer[4] we get to know that DQN solves problems with high-dimensional observation spaces and discrete low dimensional action space pretty well. Many real tasks i.e. physical control tasks have continuous and high dimensional action space. Like in our task action space is very large it is 24 DOF and 20 control joint.so action space is 20. To find an action that maximizes action valve function which requires optimization of at every step. In discrete and low dimensional action space we can simply use the max function but we cannot use it in this case. So we can't use Q-learning straightforwardly to continuous action spaces. To solve this problem [4] paper proposes the RL algorithm. DDPG is RL based algorithm for continuous action space.

This combines DQN and Deterministic policy gradient (actor-critic) which use deep neural networks as function approximate for actor and critic. Which we optimize by minimizing the loss. In DQN optimization too slow to be practical with large, unconstraint function approximators and action spaces instead we used an actor-critic approach based on the deterministic policy gradients (DPG) which we see in 3.9. In our case actor and critic networks are approximated using a fully connected neural network with MLP 3 layers with 256 unit each (ReLU).the actor neural network has state vector has input and action space that contain angular velocities of all the joint needed to achieve goals as output. The critic network takes the state-action vector as input and gives corresponding action-value as outputs. Batch normalization used to avoid over-fitting. The critic $Q(s; a)$ network is learned using the Bellman equation shown in 3.12. actor updates the policy in the direction that improves Q value, i.e., critic provides the loss function for the actor.




Figure 3.2: Actor and critic Model

The Deterministic Policy Gradient algorithm maintains a parameterized actor function $\mu(s/\Theta\mu)$ which defines the present policy by deterministically mapping(give) states to a particular action. The actor is updated by applying rules to the expected return from the start distribution J with respect to the actor parameters:[4]

Parameter noise lets us train agents tasks much more rapidly. Parameter noise adds adaptive noise to the parameters of the ANN neural network policy, rather than to its action space. Earlier RL uses action space noise [54] to change the likelihoods associated with each action the agent might take from one moment to the next. Parameter space noise adds randomness into the parameters of the agent, modifying the types of decisions it makes such that they depend on what the agent currently senses.

Deep reinforcement learning approaches like ,DQN, and DDPG [54].where you don't touch the parameters, but add noise to the action space of the policy.




Figure 3.3: Parameter Noise

Parameter noise helps algorithms explore their environments more preciously and effectively, leading to higher rewards and more elegant behaviours. Deliberately adding noise to the parameters of the policy makes an agent's exploration consistent across different time steps, whereas adding noise to the action space leads to more unpredictable exploration. which isn't like anything unique to the agent's parameters. 3.3 Hindsight Experience Replay (HER) From this paper[55] we can increase the training rate significantly. Hindsight Experience Replay (HER)[55] which allows the algorithm to perform exactly this kind of reasoning and can be combined with any off-policy Algorithm. Not only does HER improve the sample efficiency, but more importantly, it makes learning possible even if the reward signal is sparse and binary. Our approach is based on training generalize policies that take as input not only the current state but also a goal state.

The main idea behind HER is to replay each episode with a different goal than the one the agent was trying to achieve, e.g. one of the goals which were achieved in the episode. This reinforcement learning algorithm that can learn from failure. Let take an example to stabilize cartpole. Our first attempt mostly will not be a successful one. Unless we get lucky, the next few attempts will also likely not succeed. Typical RL algorithms like DDPG,DQN would not learn anything from this experience since they just obtain a constant reward in failure this is -1 that does not contain any learning signal. The key insight that HER formalizes is what

humans do: Even though we have not succeeded at a specific goal, we have at least achieved a different goal. So why not just pretend that we wanted to achieve this goal only to begin with, instead of the one that we set out to achieve originally.

By doing this substitution, the RL algorithm can obtain a learning signal since it has achieved some goal; even if it wasn't the one that we meant to achieve originally. If we repeat this process, we will eventually learn how to achieve random goals, including the goals that we really want to achieve. It often used in off-policy RL algorithms like DQN and DDPG[1,2,4] with goals, which are chosen in goal(hindsight) after the episode has finished. HER can, therefore, be combined with any off-policy RL algorithm (for example, HER can be combined with DDPG, which we write as "DDPG + HER").[55].

IV. CONCLUSION

The objective of the thesis is to address the problem of motion planning in complex, higher DOFs robotic systems such as Hand manipulators, Humanoids using RL reinforcement learning. The advantage of using RL over other methods is RL doesn't require prior knowledge of the dynamics of the system. It enables the robot to learn optimal behavior through the trial-and-error interaction with the environment. Instead of detailing the solution of the problem, in RL the evaluation is done using the feedback provided in the form of the scalar objective function which measures the one-step performance of the robot. Also, unlike sampling-based planners, Inverse kinematic Base planners, we bypass the need for accurate and robust dynamics modeling of the system. We evaluate the performance of DDPG with and without Hindsight Experience Replay(HER) DDPG+HER with sparse rewards DDPG with sparse rewards DDPG+HER significantly performs well than DDPG.

REFERENCES

- [1]. P. Nirmala, T. Manimegalai, J. R. Arunkumar, S. Vimala, G. Vinoth Rajkumar, Raja Raju, "A Mechanism for Detecting the Intruder in the Network through a Stacking Dilated CNN Model", *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 2022, Article ID 1955009, 13 pages, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1955009>.
- [2]. J.R.Arunkumar, Dr.E.Muthukumar,"A Novel Method to Improve AODV Protocol for WSN" *Journal of Engineering Sciences*" Volume 3, Issue 1, Jul 2012. ISSN NO: 0377-9254
- [3]. J. R. Arunkumar, S. Velmurugan, B. Chinnaiyah, G. Charulatha, M. Ramkumar Prabhu et al., "Logistic regression with elliptical curve cryptography to establish secure iot," *Computer Systems Science and Engineering*, vol. 45, no.3, pp. 2635–2645, 2023.
- [4]. P. K. Devi, D. Arulanatham, C. Kalaivanan, N. Gomathi, J. R. Arunkumar and G. Ramkumar, "An Secure and Low Energy Consumption based Intelligent Street Light Managing System using LoRa Network," 2022 6th International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Aerospace Technology, Coimbatore, India, 2022, pp. 638-645, doi: 10.1109/ICECA55336.2022.10009408.
- [5]. Prathima Chilukuri , J.R. Arun Kumar , R. Anusuya , M. Ramkumar Prabhu. "Auto Encoders and Decoders Techniques of Convolutional Neural Network Approach for Image Denoising In Deep Learning" *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 13(4), 1036–1040. <https://doi.org/10.47750/pnr.2022.13.04.142> ,November 4, 2022.
- [6]. R. Yugha, V. Vinodhini, J. R. Arunkumar, K. Varalakshmi, G. Karthikeyan and G. Ramkumar, "An Automated Glaucoma Detection from Fundus Images based on Deep Learning Network," 2022 Sixth International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC), Dharan, Nepal, 2022, pp. 757-763, doi: 10.1109/I-SMAC55078.2022.9987254.
- [7]. E. Thenmozhi, A. Karunakaran, J. R. Arunkumar, V. Chinnammal, C. Kalaivanan and G. Anitha, "An Efficient Object Detection and Classification from Restored Thermal Images based on Mask RCNN," 2022 Sixth International Conference on I-SMAC (IoT in Social, Mobile, Analytics and Cloud) (I-SMAC), Dharan, Nepal, 2022, pp. 639-645, doi: 10.1109/I-SMAC55078.2022.9987422.
- [8]. L. Saravanan, W. Nancy, K. P. Chandran, D. Vijayanandh, J. R. Arunkumar and R. T. Prabhu, "A Novel Approach for a Smart Early Flood Detection and Awareness System using IoT," 2022 8th International Conference on Smart Structures and Systems (ICSSS), Chennai, India, 2022, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICSSS54381.2022.9782286.
- [9]. S. Bharathi, A. Balaji, D. Irene. J, C. Kalaivanan and R. Anusuya, "An Efficient Liver Disease Prediction based on Deep Convolutional Neural Network using Biopsy Images," 2022 3rd International Conference on Smart Electronics and Communication (ICOSEC), Trichy, India, 2022, pp. 1141-1147, doi: 10.1109/ICOSEC54921.2022.9951870.
- [10].Prathima, C. H., Anusuya, R., & Prabhu, M. R. K. (2022). Comprehensive Design Analysis of Digital Marketing in Agriculture Sector. *International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education*, 14(5), 2022.
- [11].Atul Kumar Dwivedi, Deepali Virmani, Anusuya Ramasamy,Purnendu Bikash Acharjee, Mohit Tiwari"Modelling And Analysis Of Artificial Intelligence Approaches In Enhancing The Speech Recognition For Effective Multi-Functional Machine Learning Platform – A Multi Regression Modelling Approach " *Journal of Engineering Research - ICMET Special Issue*, 2022-04-06.
- [12].M.Ramkumar Prabhu, A.Rajalingam, J.R.Arunkumar, Dr.R.Anusuya" Microstrip Patch Antenna Using Combined Slots for Bandwidth Enhancement and Size", *Journal of Engineering Sciences*, Vol 11, Issue 1, Jan, 2020, ISSN NO: 0377-9254.
- [13].Anusuya Ramasamy, Abel Adane Changare" Hybrid Fuzzy Knowledge Based Prediction Model for the Software Development and Maintenance Quality in Software Engineering Approach" *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)*, ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-9 Issue-10, August 2020.
- [14].J.R.Arunkumar," Chaotic African Buffalo Optimization Based Efficient Key Mechanism in Categorized Sensor Networks., *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT)*, ISSN: 2249 – 8958, Volume-9 Issue-3, February, 2020.
- [15]. R. Anusuya, M. Ramkumar Prabhu, Ch. Prathima, J. R. Arun Kumar" Detection of TCP, UDP and ICMP DDOS attacks in SDN Using Machine Learning approach" *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, Vol. 10 No. 4S (2023): Special Issue 4.

- [16]. M. Ramkumar Prabhu, A. Rajalingam, J. R. Arunkumar, R. Anusuya, "Microstrip Patch Antenna Using Combined Slots For Bandwidth Enhancement And Size" *Journal of Engineering Sciences (JES)*, Vol 11, Issue 1, Jan / 2020, ISSN NO: 0377-9254.
- [17]. M. Ramkumar Prabhu, J. R. Arunkumar, A. Rajalingam, R. Anusuya "A Modified Square Patch Antenna with Rhombus slot for High bandwidth" *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE)* ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-8 Issue-9, July 2019.
- [18]. Revanesh, M., Gundal, S. S., Arunkumar, J. R. Arunkumar et al. Artificial neural networks-based improved Levenberg–Marquardt neural network for energy efficiency and anomaly detection in WSN. *Wireless Netw* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-023-03297-6>.
- [19]. I. Chandra, K. V. Karthikeyan, R. V, S. K, M. Tamilselvi and J. R. Arunkumar, "A Robust and Efficient Computational Offloading and Task Scheduling Model in Mobile Cloud Computing," 2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent Engineering (ICECONF), Chennai, India, 2023, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/ICECONF57129.2023.10084293.
- [20]. Jangam Raghunath, S Kiran, G Siva Nageswara Rao, JR Arun Kumar, R Anasuya, C Siva Kumar," A MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUE TO DETECT BEHAVIOR BASED MALWARE", *Semiconductor Optoelectronics*, Vol. 42 No. 1 (2023), 1268-1278
- [21]. Dr. J. R. Arunkumar. "Enhanced Dynamic Authorized Secured Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks," *Journal of Science, Computing and Engineering Research*, 1(1), 07-11, Mar-Apr 2020.
- [22]. Anitha Gopalan, O. Vignesh, R. Anusuya, K. P. Senthilkumar, V. S. Nishok, T. Helan Vidhya, Florin Wilfred," Reconstructing the Photoacoustic Image with High Quality using the Deep Neural Network Model", *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*, Volume 2023 | Article ID 1172473 | <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/1172473>.
- [23]. R. Anusuya, N. Anusha, V. Sujatha, R. Radhika and S. Iniyan, "Machine Learning based Landslide Detection System," 2023 7th International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC), Erode, India, 2023, pp. 319-323, doi: 10.1109/ICCMC56507.2023.10084226.
- [24]. S. Sivakumar, R. Anusuya, V. Nagaraju, L. P. Narendruni and R. Thamizhamuthu, "QoS Based Efficient Link and Consistent Routing in Wireless Sensor Network," 2023 International Conference on Intelligent and Innovative Technologies in Computing, Electrical and Electronics (IITCEE), Bengaluru, India, 2023, pp. 1241-1246, doi: 10.1109/IITCEE57236.2023.10091080.
- [25]. I. Chandra, G. Sowmiya, G. Charulatha, S. D, S. Gomathi and R. Anusuya, "An efficient Intelligent Systems for Low-Power Consumption Zigbee-Based Wearable Device for Voice Data Transmission," 2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent Engineering (ICECONF), Chennai, India, 2023, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/ICECONF57129.2023.10083856.
- [26]. R. Meena, T. Kavitha, A. K. S, D. M. Mathew, R. Anusuya and G. Karthik, "Extracting Behavioral Characteristics of College Students Using Data Mining on Big Data," 2023 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent Engineering (ICECONF), Chennai, India, 2023, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/ICECONF57129.2023.10084276.
- [27]. G. Karthikeyan, D. T. G, R. Anusuya, K. K. G, J. T and R. T. Prabu, "Real-Time Sidewalk Crack Identification and Classification based on Convolutional Neural Network using Thermal Images," 2022 International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), Pudukkottai, India, 2022, pp. 1266-1274, doi: 10.1109/ICACRS55517.2022.10029202.
- [28]. S. Bharathi, A. Balaji, D. Irene, J. C. Kalaivanan and R. Anusuya, "An Efficient Liver Disease Prediction based on Deep Convolutional Neural Network using Biopsy Images," 2022 3rd International Conference on Smart Electronics and Communication (ICOSEC), Trichy, India, 2022, pp. 1141-1147, doi: 10.1109/ICOSEC54921.2022.9951870.
- [29]. Dr. R. Anusuya. —Stacking Dilated CNN Authorized Secured Protocol for IoT Security, —*Journal of Science, Computing and Engineering Research*, 1(1), 01-07, May- June 2022.